



ELSEVIER

Journal of Financial Economics 39 (1995) 181–208

JOURNAL OF  
**Financial**  
**ECONOMICS**

## Market underreaction to open market share repurchases

David Ikenberry<sup>a</sup>, Josef Lakonishok<sup>\*,b</sup>, Theo Vermaelen<sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Jesse H. Jones Graduate School of Business Administration, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA*

<sup>b</sup>*University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Champaign, IL 61820, USA*

<sup>c</sup>*INSEAD, 77305 Fontainebleau Cedex, France*

<sup>d</sup>*University of Limburg, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands*

(Received January 1994; final version received February 1995)

---

### Abstract

We examine long-run firm performance following open market share repurchase announcements, 1980–1990. We find that the average abnormal four-year buy-and-hold return measured after the initial announcement is 12.1%. For ‘value’ stocks, companies more likely to be repurchasing shares because of undervaluation, the average abnormal return is 45.3%. For repurchases announced by ‘glamour’ stocks, where undervaluation is less likely to be an important motive, no positive drift in abnormal returns is observed. Thus, at least with respect to value stocks, the market errs in its initial response and appears to ignore much of the information conveyed through repurchase announcements.

*Key words:* Stock repurchase

*JEL classification:* G14; G32

---

\*Corresponding author.

We appreciate the comments of Amir Barnea, Louis Chan, Kent Daniel, Bala Dharan, Narashimhan Jegadeesh, George Kanatas, Steve Kaplan, Tim Loughran, Matt Maher, Robert McDonald, Bill Nelson, Tim Opler, Graeme Rankine, Jay Ritter, Andrei Shleifer, Richard Shockley, David Smith, Kay Stice, Robert Vishny, and *JFE* editor Jerold Warner. We extend thanks to Eugene Fama (the referee), who also provided us with monthly factor returns. This paper has been presented at the 1994 NBER Behavioral Finance meeting, the 1994 NBER Corporate Finance meeting, the Spring 1994 CRSP Seminar on the Analysis of Security Prices, the 1994 Western Finance Association meetings, the 1994 European Q-group meetings in Lausanne, the 1994 European Finance Association meetings in Brussels, the 1995 American Finance Association meetings, the University of Chicago, the University of Houston, Texas A&M University, and Rice University.

0304-405X/95/\$09.50 © 1995 Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved  
SSDI 0304405X9500826 Z

## 1. Introduction

Corporations distribute substantial sums of wealth to shareholders by repurchasing their own stock. From 1980 to 1990, the aggregate value of stock repurchased on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), the American Stock Exchange (ASE), and the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations (NASDAQ) was about one-third of the value of dividends. Toward the end of the 1980s, the dollars involved in stock repurchases increased substantially, becoming nearly half the amount paid as cash dividends. Framed differently, the dollar value of stock repurchases announced between 1985 and 1993 was nearly three times larger than that raised through initial public offerings (IPOs).<sup>1</sup> In 1994, stock buybacks continued at a rapid pace: more than \$65 billion were announced. Firms can reacquire shares through tender offers or through open market transactions. Historically, managers have chosen the latter approach by wide margins. For example, the dollar value of all share repurchases announced between 1985 and 1993 to be completed through open market transactions. In this paper, we examine the long-run performance of firms that chose this approach for repurchasing shares.

The literature provides a lengthy list of motivations for why firms might repurchase their own shares: capital structure adjustment, tax deferral, signaling, excess cash distribution, substitution for cash dividends, and wealth expropriation from bondholders. While all of these reasons are plausible, signaling has emerged as one of the most prevalent explanations (Myers, 1981; Dann, 1981; Asquith and Mullins, 1986; Ofer and Thakor, 1987; Titmides and Grundy, 1989). The Traditional Signaling Hypothesis, motivated by asymmetric information between the marketplace and managers, states that if, in management's assessment, the firm is undervalued, managers will choose to buy back stock. Making such an announcement is thus serving a valuable signal to a less informed marketplace. If markets are efficient, prices should adjust immediately in an unbiased manner and the equilibrium price should fully reflect the 'true' value of the firm. In this case, no wealth transfer should occur between long-term shareholders and the firm selling shares to the firm.

When managers are asked why they repurchase shares on the open market, the most commonly cited reason is 'undervaluation' and that they believe the shares represent a 'good investment', two reasons seemingly consistent with the signaling hypothesis (Baker, Gallagher, and Morgan, 1981; Dann, 1983; Wansley, Lane, and

---

<sup>1</sup>From 1985 to 1993, the total value of all announced share repurchases recorded by the Securities and Exchange Commission was \$334 billion (excluding REITs and closed-end funds). The comparable dollar value of initial public offerings over the same period was \$114 billion.